Plasmon, exciton and RPA correlation energy : implementations and applications based on the linear density response function

<u>Jun Yan</u>





Linear response TDDFT

SUNCAT

(A) SLAO



$$\begin{split} \delta n(\mathbf{r},t) &= \int d\mathbf{r}' \chi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',t) V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}',t) \\ V_{ext} : \text{external field} \\ \delta n : \text{induced density} \end{split}$$

 $\chi = \chi^0 + \chi^0 K \chi$

K : Coulomb (+xc) kernel

$$\chi^{0}_{\mathbf{GG}'}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{2}{V_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \sum_{k,nm} (f_{n\mathbf{k}} - f_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}) \frac{\langle \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} | e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})\cdot\mathbf{r}} | \psi_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \rangle \langle \psi_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}')\cdot\mathbf{r}} | \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\omega + \epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} + i\eta}$$

Jun Yan, Jens. J. Mortensen, Karsten W. Jacobsen and Kristian S. Thygesen, Phys. Rev. B 83, 245122 (2011).

Jun Yan, GPAW meeting, May 22, 2013

Overview

SLAO





Plasmon : nanoplasmonic applications



Plasmon : quanta of collective electronic excitations

SUNCAT



localized plasmon





Localized plasmons depend sensitively on the size, shape and dielectric environment of the nanoparticles, they can localize and enhance electromagnetic wave.

Applications :

Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy, Chemical and biological sensing, Plasmon enhanced photocatalyst Propagating plasmons can recover evanescent wave at interface, have negative refractive index

Applications: Photonic circuit Optical imaging

Plasmonic Field : Classical -> Quantum



Application I : Graphene @ substrate

The effect of substrate at the weak interaction limit

Substrate:

- Change the interface structure / electronic structure
- Introduce doping
- Dielectric screening

Static Vs Dynamical effects

Graphene is weakly bounded to the SiC substrate. Fermi level is shifted upward by 0.05 eV.

back



Graphene @ semiconducting SiC(0001) substrate

SUNCAT



Graphene @ metallic Al(III) substrate

SLAC



• Plasmon is completely quenched by a metallic aluminum substrate.

The effect of adsorbate with strong interaction : a charge-transfer like excitation



Jun Yan, Karsten W. Jacobsen and Kristian S. Thygesen, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235430 (2011).

back

SUNCAT

Application III: acoustic plasmons at noble metal surfaces **SUNEAT**





Expt : M. Rocca, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 127405 (2013)



Jun Yan, Karsten W. Jacobsen and Kristian S. Thygesen, Phys. Rev. B 86, 241402(R) (2012).

Jun Yan, GPAW meeting, May 22, 2013



Exciton : solar cell applications



Implementing the Bethe-Salpeter Equation

• TDDFT with adiabatic kernel fails to reproduce the excitonic effect



SUNCAT

TDDFT with non-adiabatic kernel : bootstrap kernel

• BSE calculation is too expensive

The kernel is supposed to have a form of $\overline{q^2}$ where q is a wave vector and alpha is constant. The adiabatic kernel is independent of q.

 α

• An approximate bootstrap kernel with TDDFT

Bootstrap kernel

$$f_{\rm xc}^{\rm BS}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -\frac{\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{q},\omega=0)v(\mathbf{q})}{\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{q},\omega=0)-1}$$

fxc updated self-consistently It can reproduce bulk tests very well. The performance for surfaces or 2D systems is unclear.



RPA correlation energy



RPA correlation energy :

$$E_c^{\text{RPA}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{BZ}} \text{Tr} \left\{ \ln[1 - \chi^0_{\mathbf{GG}'}(\mathbf{q}, i\omega) V_{\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{q})] + \chi^0_{\mathbf{GG}'}(\mathbf{q}, i\omega) V_{\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{q}) \right\}$$

 $E = E^{\rm DFT} - E_{xc}^{\rm DFT} + E_x^{\rm EXX} + E_c^{\rm RPA}$

EXX + RPA total energy :

RPA improves:

- Lattice constants
- Surface energies
- Adsorption sites
- Adsorption energies

Despite : PBE structure, none-self-consistency, underbinds for atomization/cohesive/ adsorption energies



L. Schimka, J. Harl, A. Stroppa, A. Gruneis, M. Marsman, F. Mittendorfer, and G. Kresse, Nature Materials 9, 741 (2010) X. Ren, P. Rinke and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. B 80, 045402 (2009)



SUNCAT

SLAO



Parallelized over q, k (or bands), w and G. The parallelization over q and k (or bands) has almost 100% efficiency.

Frequency integration





• Use imaginary frequencies

• Use Gauss-Legendre integration method

Jun Yan, GPAW meeting, May 22, 2013

32

For free electrons the non-interacting response function is known as the Lindhard function and for high energies its RPA correlation energy scales as:

$$E_c = E_c^\infty + \frac{A}{(E_{\rm cut})^{3/2}}$$



SUNCAT

SUNCAT

SL/



The key reason for such speed up is that using plane wave basis, the KS equation is diagonalized directly instead of solving iteratively.



RPA bottlenecks

SUN@AT

Response function takes most of the time (>99.5%)

$$\chi^{0}_{\mathbf{GG}'}(\mathbf{q}, i\omega) = \frac{2}{V_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \sum_{k,nm} (f_{n\mathbf{k}} - f_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}) \frac{\langle \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} | e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})\cdot\mathbf{r}} | \psi_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \rangle \langle \psi_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})\cdot\mathbf{r}} | \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{i\omega + \epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} + i\eta}$$

Example : N₂/Ru(001) 2*2*3 slab 16 (k-point) * 60 (occ bands) * 3000 (unocc bands) * 16 (w points) * 5 (q-points) = 230,400,000 loops, which takes 80 cores for 50 hours.

For a given n, m, k, q, define density matrix :

$$n(\mathbf{G}) \equiv \langle \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} | e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})\cdot\mathbf{r}} | \psi_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \rangle$$

The computing of the response functions consists of :

I. Calculate $n(\mathbf{G})$

A few hundred lines of code, takes 10% of total computing time for the response function.

2. Perform $C(i\omega)n(\mathbf{G})n^*(\mathbf{G}')$ and add to $\chi^0_{\mathbf{GG}'}(\mathbf{q}, i\omega)$ A few lines code, takes 90% of total computing time.

16 complex matrices of size 4000×4000 : 4G memory





SUNCAT

Bottleneck :

$$\chi^{0}_{\mathbf{GG}'}(i\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k},nn'} A(i\omega)n(\mathbf{G})n^{*}(\mathbf{G}'),$$

It is calculated using zher routine in blas. Move this function to cuda using cublas gains 13x speed up.

Group multiple charge density matrices together and use zherk instead of zher routine.

$$\chi^{0}_{\mathbf{GG}'}(i\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k},n,u \subset n'} A(u,i\omega)n(u,\mathbf{G})n^{*}(u,\mathbf{G}'),$$

No.	Function	250
3)	get_wfs	$16.0 \times$
4)	$transform_wfs$	$15.4 \times$
5)	fft	$12.3 \times$
6)	mapG	$53.4 \times$
7)	paw_P_ai	$242.2 \times$
8)	paw_P_ap	$56.7 \times$
9)	paw_add	$136.2 \times$
10)	optical_limit	$19.2 \times$
11)	zherk	$26.9 \times$
	Total, $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$	30.6×
	Total, $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$	39.6×

Final speed up

Special kernel for PAW terms

 $n_{n\mathbf{k},n'\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{G}) = \tilde{n}_{n\mathbf{k},n'\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{G}) + \sum_{a,ij} \langle \tilde{\psi}_{n\mathbf{k}} | \tilde{p}_i^a \rangle \langle \tilde{p}_j^a | \tilde{\psi}_{n'\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \rangle Q_{ij}^a(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})$

6000 lines of python, 1000 lines of C/CUDA (and re-uses many GPAW functions)

Techniques:

Use BLAS3 "zherk" instead of BLAS2 "zher" Batch FFTs GPU kernels parallelized over atoms/bands/projector-functions No thunking: all calculations on GPU

System	Phase	Na	N_e	Spin	k-points	Improvement	t_{gpu}
O_2	gas	2	12	True	1	11.3x	41 sec
Li ₂ O	bulk	3	8	False	$4 \times 4 \times 4$	10.5x	63 sec
MoO_3	bulk	16	96	False	$4 \times 2 \times 4$	35.3x	1.0 h
$N_2/Ru(0001)$	surface	14	202	False	$4 \times 4 \times 1$	36.1x	1.4 h
CO/Ni(111)	surface	22	210	True	$4\times 4\times 1$	37.0x	5.5 h



Jun Yan, Lin Li and Christopher O'Grady, submitted, 2013.

Jun Yan, GPAW meeting, May 22, 2013

Enthalpy of Formation per Oxygen

SUN[®]**A**T

Expt

RT

-6.21^a -3.28^a -1.37 to -1.50^b -4.33^a

> -2.66^a -1.35^a

$$\Delta E_{\mathcal{O}} = \frac{1}{y} E(\mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{O}_y) - \frac{x}{y} E(\mathbf{A}) - \frac{1}{2} E(\mathbf{O}_2),$$

		PBE	EXX	EXX+RPA	
· Coloction of avideo				[©] PBE	T=0
• Selection of oxides	Li_2O	-5.35	-5.72	-5.69	-6.14
	Li_2O_2	-2.80	-2.61	-3.05	-
	LiO_2	-1.42	-0.24	-1.38	-
	Na ₂ O	-3.62	-3.38	-3.93	-4.28
Alkali and Alkali Farth Metal Oxides	Na ₂ O ₂	-2.14	-1.88	-2.41	-2.63
	NaO ₂	-1.22	-0.22	-1.26	-1.37
with oxidization states	K_2O	-3.07	-2.14	-3.54	-
O ²⁻ (oxide).	K_2O_2	-2.11	-1.55	-2.42	-
$\mathbf{O}_{2}^{2}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z},z$	KO_2	-1.34	-0.21	-1.45	-1.48
O_2^2 (peroxide),	Rb_2O	-2.70	-1.59	-3.30	-
O_2^{-} (superoxide).	Rb ₂ O ₂	-2.02	-1.43	-2.42	-
- (1 /	RbO_2	-1.31	0.12	-1.43	-
	Cs_2O	-3.02	-1.42	-3.50	-
	Cs_2O_2	-2.08	-1.30	-2.47	-
	CsO_2	-1.36	0.15	-1.43	-
Iransition Metal Oxides	BeO	-5.45	-6.31	-5.89	-6.27
with simple structures	MgO	-5.57	-6.04	-5.94	-6.19

and spin configurations

PBE values < 0.1 eV difference compared with Materials project database

K ₂ O	-3.07	-2.14	-3.54	-	-3.76 ^a	
K_2O_2	-2.11	-1.55	-2.42	-	-2.57^{a}	
KO_2	-1.34	-0.21	-1.45	-1.48	-1.47^{a}	
Rb_2O	-2.70	-1.59	-3.30	-	-3.51 ^c	
Rb_2O_2	-2.02	-1.43	-2.42	-	-2.48°	
RbO_2	-1.31	0.12	-1.43	-	-1.45°	
Cs_2O	-3.02	-1.42	-3.50	-	-3.58°	
Cs_2O_2	-2.08	-1.30	-2.47	-	-2.58°	
CsO_2	-1.36	0.15	-1.43	-	-1.48°	
BeO	-5.45	-6.31	-5.89	-6.27	-6.31 ^a	
MgO	-5.57	-6.04	-5.94	-6.19	-6.23^{a}	
CaO	-6.05	-6.10	-6.48	-6.55	-6.58^{a}	
CaO_2	-2.86	-2.71	-3.12	-	-3.17°	
SrO	-5.49	-5.51	-5.90	-6.11	-6.14 ^a	
BaO	-5.08	-5.14	-5.62	-5.67	-5.68^{a}	
TiO_2	-4.59	-5.35	-4.79	-4.87	-4.90^{a}	
RuO ₂	-1.56	-0.75	-1.59	-	-1.58^{d}	
MAE	0.44	0.96	0.15			ha ala
MAE-s	0.21		0.10			Dack

Enthalpy of Formation per Oxygen

Calculation (eV)

0 ₩ PBE EXX +EXX+RPA -3 NaO₂ Rb_2O_2 LiO₂ $CaO_2 | K_2O_2$ BeO RbO_2 Li_2O_2 MgO Cs_2O_2 KO_2 Li_2O Rb₂O Na_2O_2 CsO_2 -5RuO₂ SrO TiO₂ Cs_2O -6 K_2O BaO Na_2O CaO -5 -3 -6 -2 $^{-1}$ -4 0

Experiment (eV)

Mean absolute error: PBE : 0.44 eV RPA : 0.15 eV

Jun Yan, Jens S. Hummelshøj, and Jens K. Nørskov, Phys. Rev. B 87, 075207 (2013)



SUNCAT

Bulk Volumes with RPA

Two representative oxides:

- Li₂O : PBE volume 16.3% smaller than Expt. one
- Cs_2O : PBE volume 16.4% larger than Expt. one Other oxides : volume error -3% to 6%



RPA volume is 5 and 44 meV lower in total energy for Li₂O and Cs₂O, respectively

Jun Yan, Jens S. Hummelshøj, and Jens K. Nørskov, Phys. Rev. B 87, 075207 (2013)

Jun Yan, GPAW meeting, May 22, 2013



SUN®AT

SUNCAT

		PBE	EXX	RPA	EXX+RPA	Expt.
O_2	Ours	6.25	1.10	3.82	4.92	5.25
	Ref.	6.24	1.08	3.82	4.90	



Summary

SUNCAT

- **Plasmons** (easy to calculate and measure in Expt, meaningful results even when not converged)
 - Substrate effect : dynamical screening at weak interacting limit
 - Adsorbate effect : charge transfer like excitation at strong interacting limit
 - Low energy acoustic mode : predicted plasmon energies at Au and Ag surface
- **Excitons** (easy to measure, difficult to calculate and achieve k-point convergence)
 - Single layer boron nitride on graphene
- RPA correlation energy (not directly measured, robust on GPU, hard to converge for metals)
 - Benchmark formation energy of 23 metal oxides : Mean absolute error 0.44 eV (PBE) -> 0.15 eV (RPA)

Implementations

- TDDFT with ALDA and bootstrap fxc kernel : well documented and detail tutorials, robust code
- BSE : documented, no tutorial, hard to converge with k-points
- RPA (on GPUs) : robust, difficult to converge with k-points for metals

• Other talks

- Plasmonics with GPAW (Kristian), GW (Falco),
- Extending RPA with renormalized kernel (Thomas), Thin film solar cells (Ivano)

Acknowledgements

SUNCAT



Karsten Wedel Jacobsen Kristian Sommer Thygesen Jens Jørgen Mortensen Marcin Dulak Ole Holm Nielsen Ask Hjorth Larsen Thomas Olsen Falco Hufner Mikael Kuisma Kirstan Anderson



Jens K. Nørskov Thomas Bligaard

Christopher O'Grady Lin Li

Phillippe Vandermersch (Nvidia) Lung Sheng Chiang (Nvidia)

CASE Catalysis for Sustainable Energy







Thank you for your attention !







